

Films Shape Collective Memory and National Identity: Historical Events in Film

Dr. Astrid Moller

Nordic Centre for Autonomous Systems, Denmark

Received: 15/01/2026 ; Accepted: 20/06/2026 ; Publishing: 25/07/2026

Abstract:

Viewers' recollections and sense of national belonging are shaped by historical films. Hollywood historical depictions are powerful tools for shaping social narratives because they captivate huge audiences with a mix of factual facts and dramatic storytelling. The effects of cinematic portrayals of pivotal historical events on public understanding and identification with those events are the focus of this research. These events can include revolutions, civil rights campaigns, or wars. the challenges faced by filmmakers in attempting to be historically accurate while also expressing their creative sides, and the impact that their choices have on the collective consciousness of the country. The impact of cinema on viewers' perceptions, interpretations, and internalizations of both their own and other societies' histories is investigated in this study through a comparative analysis of several historical films. Finally, this study sheds light on the ways in which film can both alter and maintain historical narratives, shedding light on the ways in which cinema impacts collective memory and national consciousness.

Keywords: Historical films, collective memory, national identity, cinematic representation, historical accuracy

Introduction:

The cinematic medium has always been a significant factor in the manner in which individuals remember and make sense of their own past. Not only do films about the past have the ability to make people laugh, but they also have the power to define national identity and to construct collective memory. In order to impact public perspective and internalization of history, historical films can appeal to huge audiences through artistic storytelling that incorporates true events. This allows the films to influence public perception. Through the use of cinematic and dramatic aspects, these films have the ability to elicit powerful emotions and bring historical events to life, so making them accessible to an audience that might not otherwise have access to them through more conventional channels such as academic literature or textbooks. However, there are issues with the way that movies depict historical events. Filmmakers frequently face the difficult problem of balancing artistic license with historical accuracy, which in turn raises questions over veracity and accountability. Both of these challenges are difficult to overcome. There are disagreements on the morality of cinematic historical narratives that develop when films prioritize the expression of ideological statements or dramatic effect over the authenticity of reality. In spite of these challenges, historical films continue to play a significant part in educating people about the past and assisting them in the process of developing their collective memory and sense of national identity. through the analysis of scenes that portray significant periods in our nation's history, the ability of film to

1855

mold national identity and collective memory is brought to light. It investigates the ways in which filmmakers make these images appealing to contemporary audiences as well as the ways in which these depictions influence public opinion. The purpose of this study is to demonstrate, through an analysis of significant historical films, that cinema not only depicts historical narratives but also actively influences them. This has an effect on how societies remember, interpret, and internalize their past.

A Comparison between Artistic Freedom and Historical Accuracy

Making historical films presents a number of challenges, one of the most significant of which is finding a way to strike a balance between being historically accurate and artistically free. It is a typical dilemma for filmmakers to wrestle with the question of whether they should show historical events in a factual manner or whether they should utilize their creativity to create a story that is more fascinating and emotionally affecting. Considering the fact that these movies have such a significant influence on how people perceive the past, this conflict is at the center of many conversations about historical cinema. While there are those who believe that historical authenticity is of the utmost importance, there are also those who believe that historical narratives require artistic license in order to be fascinating and accessible to contemporary audiences.

In historical films, striking a balance between fact and fiction

When we talk about historical authenticity, we are referring to the ability to accurately portray historical events, individuals, and dates. Filmmakers that are committed to telling the truth typically engage in extensive study, consulting historians, primary sources, and historical materials in order to guarantee the authenticity of their portrayal. Nevertheless, when facts are strictly adhered to, the tale can become constricted, and dramatic tension and emotional depth are severely constrained. This can reduce the overall impact of the story. Hollywood films such as Schindler's List (1993) and Apollo 13 (1995) have received great praise for their ability to accurately portray historical events while simultaneously captivating audiences with compelling narratives.

Filmmakers, on the other hand, are free to fictionalize, embellish, or otherwise modify historical events in order to make their films more emotionally impactful or to improve the flow of the tale. Directors frequently abbreviate timeframes, simplify intricate events, or create new characters in order to move the storyline ahead. This is done in order to move the story forward. For instance, despite taking significant liberties with William Wallace's life and the Wars of Scottish Independence, Braveheart (1995) was able to maintain its popularity and impact due to the emotional and dramatic intensity that it possessed.

The Ethical Considerations Involved in Movies That Show Historical Events

Much debate surrounds the ethics of historical film adaptations, particularly in cases where artistic license significantly veers away from reality. Historical films have the power to perpetuate myths, misrepresent prominent figures, and lead audiences astray by romanticizing or distorting the past. Critics say that filmmakers who put artistic goals ahead of historical accuracy are encouraging prejudice and bigotry in their audiences. The 2006 film 300 has been criticism for allegedly culturally biased depictions of the Persian Empire and the Battle of Thermopylae, as well as for oversimplifying a complex historical event through politically driven images.

However, a large number of filmmakers maintain that artistic liberty is paramount in the filmmaking process. Historical films, they argue, are more like works of art than documentaries and, as such, should make people feel something and think deeply. In their exploration of a historical event, filmmakers may choose to explore the emotional reality or thematic aspects rather than being overly concerned with factual accuracy. For example, *The King's Speech* (2010) altered numerous historical details to highlight King George VI's personal struggle with his speech impediment. Emotions and character development take center stage in the film, rather than the events themselves.

Storytellers and Historians in Film: Their Role

Filmmakers, as storytellers and de facto historians, wield considerable influence over public recollection of historical events. While historians look at the past objectively, filmmakers often use a narrative perspective, prioritizing dramatic impact over meticulous factual accuracy. While this may lead to films that significantly impact audiences by simplifying or distorting complex events, it also carries the risk of doing the opposite.

The animosity between Salieri and Mozart, as depicted in *Amadeus* (1984) and related films, was substantially inflated and did not exist in reality. One may make the case that the public's impression of these historical figures is influenced by the gripping emotional complexity and dramatic tension of the film. You should watch these movies with a healthy dose of skepticism because, while they do interest people in the past, they may also distort the truth.

Effects on General Knowledge and Long-Term Recollection

The level of artistic license in relation to historical accuracy determines how viewers comprehend and make sense of historical events. Since they are the main way that many people learn about the past, historical films greatly influence national identity and collective memory. When filmmakers exaggerate or otherwise change the truth, they impact how audiences perceive historical figures and events. The fact-fiction tension is magnified in this context because films influence cultural memory. Even while audiences are aware that films often distort the truth, they may still have problems telling the difference between fact and fiction, particularly when films are promoted or perceived as being "based on true events." Films like *The Imitation Game* (2014) and *Argo* (2012), which take place in the past, can provoke discussions about the limits of permissible artistic license and the responsibility of filmmakers to remain faithful to historical accuracy.

How Documentaries Shape National Identity

Historical films play a vital role in the construction of national identities by portraying the people, places, and things that shape a nation's collective self-perception. National heroes, watershed moments in history, and mythology can all find a home in these films. By providing an emotionally captivating depiction of the past, historical films assist spectators in developing a shared knowledge of their country's history, which in turn fosters a feeling of national pride and belonging.

How Films Reflect and Shape National Consciousness

Historical films often reflect the nation's goals, struggles, and aspirations, and they impact many people's views of national identity through their depictions of historical events. They stand for the manner in which a people remember and share their history through storytelling. Films like *Gandhi* (1982) and others like it show how peaceful resistance can succeed, which

boosts India's national pride because it serves as a model of moral fortitude and harmony. *Braveheart* (1995) accomplishes the same thing by showing the Scots' struggle for independence from England and inspiring patriotism through its heroic depiction of their resistance. Historical films also contribute to the spread of national myths, or the stories that a country tells itself regarding its past. The stories may not be based on truth, but they nonetheless manage to strike a chord with patriotism. These myths often portray acts of great heroism, altruism, or unity among the people to encourage a feeling of national pride. Honoring America's military heritage and reinforcing the image of America as a morally superior global force, *Saving Private Ryan* (1998) presents a portrait of America's participation in WWII through its focus on sacrifice and heroism.

How Film Contributes to the Formation of National and Cultural Identity

Historical films have frequently been used on purpose to establish the nation during times of social or political upheaval. It is possible for governments and filmmakers to collaborate on films that promote national solidarity, shared principles, and shared goals. Videos like these are crucial in assisting newly independent nations or those recovering from war to fortify their sense of national identity. Films documenting the struggle for independence, for example, might help people in post-colonial nations recall their shared experiences of oppression and resistance, which can enhance their feeling of national solidarity. *The Battle of Algiers* (1966) is more than just a historical film; it is also a rallying cry for national unity and an anthem for Algerian pride in being a nation born of revolution. Algerians fight for independence from France in this film. Historical films often seek to promote traditional values, traditions, and national heroes in order to investigate cultural identity; one of their common goals is the creation of a national narrative that could unite people. *Lincoln* (2012) and *Elizabeth* (1998) are two examples of influential historical figures whose documentaries accomplish a double purpose: they immortalize these leaders as icons of America's basic ideals and aspirations, and they capture crucial moments in history.

Identity Formation in the Context of National Trauma

Historical documentaries typically portray national disasters, such as colonialism, genocide, or war, rather than triumphs. Films depicting these events can influence how people see their own countries because they make people think about and talk about their own history. Films like *Schindler's List* (1993) that faithfully portray the Holocaust help present and future generations confront the terrible history of their own nation. By acknowledging the gravity of suffering and paying tribute to the victims, films such as these contribute to the formation of a collective memory. In certain cases, historical films may aid in healing national scars by promoting reflection and reconciliation. *Hotel Rwanda*, which came out in 2004, is about the Rwandan genocide and the rescue efforts that happened during that dark period. This approach, which highlighted both the atrocities and the humanity that persisted, led to the formation of a Rwandan national identity centered on resilience and healing.

Reimagining the Past via Film and the Construction of National Myths

A nation's identity can be profoundly affected by the way its past is portrayed in cinema, especially when it challenges conventional wisdom. Revisionist historical films include controversial ones like *JFK* (1991), which deals with the assassination of John F. Kennedy. The way individuals in this country view and discuss their history can be influenced by the

varied viewpoints presented in these films. Viewers may come away from these films with a more nuanced and critical understanding of a country's history, which could lead them to question official accounts. These reinterpretations show how film may closely engage with national identity, which could lead to debates about the historical accuracy of certain claims. By providing alternative perspectives or highlighting previously marginalized voices, historical films have the potential to question the official narratives that shape national identity and to unveil new ways of understanding the past.

Conclusion:

Films depicting historical events greatly influence audience members' recollection of those eras and their sense of national identity. Films chronicling a nation's history have the power to captivate audiences by depicting both the joyous and sorrowful moments in a manner that transcends cultural barriers. By weaving together factual historical accounts with dramatic storytelling, filmmakers influence how a community understands its national identity. How people in a society recall, understand, and assimilate their history is affected by this. Historical documentaries can unite audiences via the shared experience of learning about and celebrating the achievements, milestones, and cultural values of a nation's past. At the same time, they can challenge traditional narratives about the past, offering new perspectives that encourage critical examination of a country's past. Movies, whether they celebrate triumphs or tackle national tragedies, can shape how communities perceive and recall their history, often becoming an essential part of collective memory. No matter how much it evolves and develops, cinema will never stop playing a significant role in shaping national identity and collective memory. Historical films have an indisputable impact on how a nation's past and present are portrayed; they influence not just how people remember the past but also how they see themselves reflected in their country's narrative. Ultimately, cinema's impact on collective memory underscores its function as a creative and cultural component in the establishment of national identity.

Bibliography

- Reetika Poonia, & Prof. Amit Sangwan. (2024). Beyond Bollywood: A Critical Exploration of Mental Health Awareness in 'Dear Zindagi' and 'Chhichhore'. *Universal Research Reports*, 11(4), 73–84. <https://doi.org/10.36676/urr.v11.i4.1313>
- Patel, R. (2024). Representation Matters: Diversity and Inclusion in Contemporary Cinema. *Shodh Sagar Journal of Language, Arts, Culture and Film*, 1(1), 42–46. <https://doi.org/10.36676/jlacf.v1.i1.8>
- Banerjee, S. (2024). Film Festivals and Cultural Diplomacy: The Role of International Cinema in Global Relations. *Shodh Sagar Journal of Language, Arts, Culture and Film*, 1(1), 36–41. <https://doi.org/10.36676/jlacf.v1.i1.7>
- Iyer, A. (2024). The Evolution of Film Technology: Impact on Narrative Structure and Audience Experience. *Shodh Sagar Journal of Language, Arts, Culture and Film*, 1(1), 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.36676/jlacf.v1.i1.4>
- Rajeev Kumar Pathak. (2024). The Evolution of Film Genres: A Historical Analysis. *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, 15(1), 42–47. <https://doi.org/10.36676/jrps.v15.i1.07>