Revista Infoacceso
e-ISSN 2311-7605 (Online)
infoacceso.org/index.php/ri

Volume 13 · Issue 3 · 2026
Pages 3485–3491

Challenges of Healthcare Provision for Inmates and Prospects for its Development

Dr. Benabdesselam Kamel

Lecturer (Class B) , Faculty of Law and Political Science, University of Oran 2 Mohamed Ben Ahmed, Algeria,
Email: Benabdeselam.Kamel@univ-oran2.dz

Received: 10/06/2026 | **Accepted:** 17/08/2026 | **Published:** 11/11/2026

***Abstract*—**Despite the robustness of the legal texts, the system faces several practical, psychological, and social issues, which necessitate that prison administration bear full liability in cases of negligence. The study highlights the role of the judge of the application of penalties, who is responsible for implementing certain mechanisms to preserve the prisoner's health, in addition to activating alternatives to custodial sentences as a solution to prison overcrowding. Furthermore, the healthcare dimension integrates with educational and cultural programs to reform behavior and rehabilitate prisoners for reintegration into society.

***Keywords*—**Healthcare, Inmates, Penal Institution, Algerian Law.

Introduction

In its legal essence, a penalty is not merely a measure to deprive a person of liberty; rather, it is an integrated system of sanctions ranging from custodial sentences, financial fines, and alternative penalties, which collectively aim to achieve deterrence and reform. Nevertheless, the "human rights standard" remains the benchmark that grants these penalties their legitimacy. International human rights principles affirm that regardless of a penalty's severity, it must not undermine an individual's dignity or violate their fundamental, inalienable rights—foremost among which are the right to health and physical integrity. When the State punishes, it does so in the name of the law and to protect society, while simultaneously being obligated to preserve the humanity of the convicted person. This ensures that punishment remains a tool for correction rather than a means of exclusion or destruction, given that the purpose of a custodial sentence is to reform offenders, work on their rehabilitation, and reintegrate them into society as healthy individuals. Therefore, healthcare within penal institutions is neither a luxury nor a privilege; rather, it is a security and social necessity. It ensures that a prisoner or inmate does not transform from a remorseful wrongdoer into an ailing body that releases physical epidemics and diseased, shaken psychological grudges into society upon their release, undermining their relationship with other members of the community.

The most prominent challenges regarding healthcare for inmates include overcrowding, which turns prisons into fertile environments for the spread of epidemics; the deterioration of health units; and a shortage of equipment and essential medications, all of which hinder the provision of effective treatment. Furthermore, the weakness of information systems and the absence of digital medical records lead to a loss of precise follow-up for chronic cases. This necessitates upgrading the infrastructure and adopting health digitalization to ensure the continuity and quality of care.

The research problem of our study revolves around the following question:**"How is healthcare provided to inmates in light of practical, psychological, social, and cultural challenges?"**

Section One: Practical Challenges

Healthcare within penal institutions faces complex structural challenges, ranging from environmental and infrastructure issues to problems related to human and administrative resources. Collectively, these issues paralyze the capacity of the health administration to ensure continuity of treatment or efficiently manage health crises.

© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Revista Infoacceso.

First Subsection: Environmental and Infrastructure Issues

These issues constitute a barrier to achieving the required healthcare standards for inmates, the most prominent of which are:

First Branch : Prison Overcrowding

Overcrowding is considered the most prominent structural challenge that undermines healthcare efforts. Exceeding the penal institution's capacity leads to a deterioration in hygiene and ventilation levels, thereby opening the door wide to epidemics, dermatological diseases, and psychological disorders. This does not stop at organic infections; rather, it extends to the psychological impact resulting from the proliferation of insects such as mosquitoes and flies, as well as the continuous buzzing and nervous agitation they cause, which deprive the inmate of psychological stability and place them in a state of constant tension. This massive demographic pressure within confined spaces renders health facilities practically incapable of containing health crises or providing a secure environment that preserves the physical and mental safety of inmates.[1]

Examples of mental disorders that may spread among prisoners within penal institutions include **anxious-depressive disorders (Troubles anxieux dépressifs)**, which entail complications that may pose a risk of suicide in prisons. These are secondary disorders consequent to imprisonment, appearing in inmates with no prior medical history, and such disorders do not constitute the cause of their imprisonment.

"Therefore, the space of the penal institution must be expansive to avoid congestion and to provide good natural or artificial lighting and ventilation. In addition, a bed equipped with new blankets—which must be continuously changed—must be allocated to each prisoner. Furthermore, the areas designated for eating, working, or recreation must be spacious, well-oxygenated, and rich in fresh air and light."

Second Branch : Deficiencies in Health Units, Equipment, Information Systems, and Follow-Up

Health units within penal institutions suffer from a severe technical and logistical gap; they often lack modern medical devices and integrated laboratories, with their role confined to offering a minimum standard of first aid. This shortage of essential equipment and specialized medication places the medical staff in practical paralysis when facing critical cases. Consequently, the administration is forced to resort to medical transfer procedures to public hospitals—a process fraught with security and bureaucratic complexities that may aggravate the patient's condition.[2]

"In its resolution regarding medical devices and equipment in contemporary systems of healthcare and services—after expressing concern over the growing regional problems related to the selection, procurement, and management of medical devices, and recognizing the need to formulate and implement successful, sustainable regional and national policies and strategies to ensure the availability of programs concerned with medical devices and their proper management—the **World Health Organization (WHO)** urged Member States to work on updating information related to medical devices and procedures in order to evaluate, select, and manage their technologies, while establishing national plans aimed at encouraging the appropriate use of health technology, focusing on medical devices. It also emphasized the necessity of establishing centers of excellence in the field of medical technology, including the repair, quality assurance, and improvement of medical equipment, as well as capacity building and service delivery in this field. The resolution concluded by stressing the imperative to facilitate the exchange of information regarding the various methods used by Member States to ensure the provision of essential medical devices for the healthcare system."[3]

Accordingly, implementing this resolution within penal institutions represents an embodiment of the **principle of equivalence of care**, which grants the inmate the right to health services comparable to those available to the general public in terms of quality and safety. By adopting health technology assessment standards, the administration of penal institutions can transcend haphazard procurement and provide essential medical equipment characterized by accuracy and efficiency despite budget constraints, thereby allowing the inmate to benefit from accurate diagnosis and effective treatment. Furthermore, commitment to preventive maintenance programs and periodic calibration of medical equipment protects the inmate from the hazards of dilapidated devices. Thus, this resolution serves as a legal and ethical instrument that reinforces the physical integrity of the inmate and ensures the leverage of available technological resources to achieve the maximum possible health benefit within the penal environment.

Archiving is generally defined as the process of preserving and classifying documents—mostly paper-based—in a manner that facilitates future retrieval. It is an integrated dynamic process, as archival practice in developed countries relies on maintaining documents from the moment of their creation within administrations and tracking them until their final disposition is decided, whether by permanent retention or destruction. However, the concept of technology has transformed the traditional notion of preservation—from merely protecting documents against damage to reproducing them in electronic form through scanning or photographing and storing them in digital memory, while guaranteeing the authenticity and integrity of these documents as well as ease of access for authorized personnel and users.

Furthermore, **AFNOR** (the French Standardization Association) defines electronic archiving as "the set of actions, tools, and methods established for the medium and long-term preservation of electronic information with a view to its utilization."[4]

Consequently, the absence of digitalization and unified medical archiving systems constitutes a fundamental barrier to the continuity of treatment within penal institutions. Reliance on traditional paper records—which are susceptible to damage and loss—causes a disruption in the inmate's "medical history," particularly during inter-institutional transfers, leading to a waste of resources and the duplication of medical examinations. Hence, digitalization emerges as an imperative necessity for modernizing health administration—not only to preserve the inmate's therapeutic record and ensure precise monitoring of chronic cases, but also as a tool for effective oversight of the quality, safety, and sustainability of medical equipment.

Second Subsection: Issues Related to Human and Administrative Resources

Human and administrative resources constitute the primary pillar for ensuring the effectiveness of healthcare within penal institutions. However, practical reality reveals a set of issues that impede the achievement of desired objectives, represented as follows:

First Branch : Shortage of Physicians, Nurses, Psychologists, and Social Workers

The shortage of specialized medical personnel in the health sector of penal institutions leads to a noticeable deficit in providing medical services to inmates. This is due to the disproportionate ratio of doctors and nurses relative to the inmate population density, particularly given the recorded shortage of psychologists and social workers. The latter are foundational pillars in the behavioral correction and psychological rehabilitation processes required to ensure the inmate's rehabilitation and reformation for reintegration into society.

"Given the necessity of providing appropriate health conditions within penal institutions and workshops, conducting daily and periodic monitoring to ensure healthcare for prisoners, and fulfilling hygiene requirements, the Ministry of Justice concluded three (3) joint ministerial decrees with the Ministry of Health and Social Affairs. These decrees ensure the recruitment of certain medical and paramedical corps for the benefit of the prison sector, including the corps of **public health clinical psychologists**, as stipulated in the joint ministerial decree dated December 10, 1991. Furthermore, the two joint ministerial decrees dated December 10, 1991, authorized the opening of recruitment competitions for medical and paramedical corps for the benefit of prisons, which include the following:[5]

- **General medical practitioners**, represented by: physicians, pharmacists, and dental surgeons.
- **Nursing assistants, nurses, social assistants, pharmacy preparers, radiology operators, laboratory assistants, and laboratory technicians**."

"In addition to the aforementioned three joint ministerial decrees, the joint ministerial decree dated May 13, 1997—which includes the health agreement concerning health coverage for prisoners in penal institutions concluded between the Ministries of Justice and Health—paved the way for the assignment and secondment of physicians affiliated with health structures to penal institutions, and enabled the Ministry of Health to contribute to the continuous training of medical and paramedical staff belonging to the prison sector."

Second Branch : Shortage of Essential Medications and Medical Supplies, and Administrative and Legal Difficulties

The scarcity of medications—particularly those related to chronic and terminal illnesses—constitutes a major barrier to the continuity of treatment and a direct threat to the lives of sick inmates, especially those suffering from chronic conditions such as diabetes or renal failure. This leads to the deterioration of health conditions and complications where illnesses become permanent and untreatable. Moreover, the lack of essential medical supplies and operational and diagnostic devices within prison health units diminishes the quality of medical

services provided, often restricting them to mere first aid. Generally, the shortage of essential medications signifies that the health system within the penal health sector is no longer capable of fulfilling its responsibility to save the lives of inmates, which heralds a serious health catastrophe.[6]

This is compounded by the complexity of administrative procedures associated with transferring inmates to external hospitals when necessary, along with the accompanying strict security measures that may sometimes cause delays in medical intervention, in addition to the overlapping powers between the penal administration and health authorities, which generates legal loopholes that negatively impact the speed and efficiency of healthcare provision for the inmate.

Section Two: Psychological, Social, and Cultural Issues

The concept of health within the penal environment extends far beyond mere organic integrity to encompass psychological balance and preventive awareness. In this regard, special issues arise concerning the "inmate's self" and behavior, which are outlined as follows:

First Subsection: Psychological and Social Issues

These issues are directly linked to the consequences of deprivation of liberty and social stigma, and they manifest in:

First Branch : Depression, Anxiety, and Stress

Linguistically, depression is derived from the roots "ka'aba" and "ka'aba" (gloom), meaning that a person's psychological state has deteriorated from sorrow, making them gloomy and depressed. Depression is synonymous with constriction; it is said that when a person constricts upon themselves, it signifies a choking feeling regarding life, leading to withdrawal and isolation. Its symptoms include the inmate's feeling of chest constriction and distress, loss of appetite, weight loss, and constipation, in addition to suffering from headaches and fatigue with minimal effort. As for their psychological state, it is afflicted with misery, despair, and low self-esteem. The inmate also becomes reactive, introverted, fond of solitude, isolation, and silence, prone to resignation and absent-mindedness, pessimistic, neglectful of their hygiene and appearance, slow in thinking and unresponsive, lacking concentration, and plagued by negative thoughts prompting them to end their life. Among the causes leading them to this state are self-directed aggression ("self-punishment"), loss of self-esteem, and a lack of self-control, resulting from feelings of helplessness, despair, disorientation, loss, and a state of insecurity and discomfort.[7]

Second Branch : Social Isolation, Weakened Family Ties, Addiction, and Dangerous Behaviors

The decline in the frequency of communication with family members leads to a loss of emotional support, which complicates the inmate's subsequent rehabilitation and social reintegration process. The more the family possesses flexibility and the mechanism for effective and positive communication with the inmate, the more their level of recovery from the stigma and shocks of imprisonment improves. The family acts as a contributory factor in returning the inmate to normal life by strengthening bonds during imprisonment through regular visits charged with empathy and support. Conversely, total or partial abandonment of the inmate acts as a factor that undermines morale, contributes to the crisis, and drives recidivism.

Addiction is not limited solely to the consumption of drugs or alcohol; rather, it encompasses a wide range of compulsive behaviors that negatively affect the lives of individuals and inmates alike. Consequently, addiction must be considered a psychological and physical condition that requires a deep understanding of treatment and prevention strategies to restore the inmate to their normal state, whether inside the penal institution or outside its walls. The **World Health Organization (WHO)** defines addiction as: "A psychological and organic state resulting from the interaction between a living organism and a drug, characterized by behavioral and other responses that always include a compulsion to take the drug on a continuous or periodic basis in order to experience its psychic effects and sometimes to avoid the discomfort of its absence."

Second Subsection: Cultural Issues

Prevention represents the first line of defense; however, its effectiveness collides with the following obstacles:

First Branch : Shortage of Correctional Programs

These programs are divided into several types, notably the **reception program**, which refers to the set of procedures undertaken with inmates immediately upon their arrival at the penal institution. These procedures comprise three types:

1. **Administrative procedures**, summarized in receiving the inmate, handing over clothing, and assigning housing;
2. **Technical procedures**, which are considered the most important and consist of examining the inmate from all social, psychological, health, and educational aspects, among others. At the end of the examination, a comprehensive report is formulated, on the basis of which the specific program for the inmate during their stay in the penal institution is determined; and
3. **Familiarization procedures**, which involve introducing the inmate to the penal institution, their rights, and their duties.[8]

Furthermore, there is the **work program**, which is an essential part of the inmate's rehabilitation path to resume a new life. This is conducted in accordance with their desire in choosing the type of work while taking their physical capacity into account, and it must be carried out under appropriate conditions similar to those under which they will practice work upon leaving the penal institution.

Additionally, there is the **education and cultural program**, which aims to enable the inmate to acquire a culture that helps them develop and correct their social attitudes regarding their relationship with the State and society, as well as to improve their standard of living through acquiring professional skills based on scientific and practical foundations. There are also numerous other programs that help raise the inmate's awareness, reform them, and eradicate their criminal tendencies.[9][10]

Consequently, the absence of correctional programs turns the prison from an institution of reform into a place of mere detention, which entrenches aggressive behavior in the inmate and deprives them of acquiring honest living skills, thereby rendering the inmate a permanent burden on the State and society instead of integrating them as a productive element. Thus, the release of a prisoner becomes a threat to public security rather than the beginning of a new chapter.

Second Branch : Weakness of Awareness Campaigns, and Administrative and Legal Difficulties

The weakness of awareness campaigns within penal institutions constitutes a fundamental barrier hindering the achievement of the objectives intended from inmate rehabilitation. This leaves inmates isolated from the sound value and legal systems, generating an intellectual void filled by incoming criminal values. Moreover, the absence of psychological, religious, and social guidance contributes to entrenching hostility toward society and deepening ignorance of rights and duties, which empties the period of detention of its correctional content, reducing it to a mere physical custodial punishment.[11]

This results in a blatant disruption of the principles endorsed by **Law No. 05-04 relating to the organization of prisons and the social reintegration of inmates**, causing the legal text—in light of this absence—to transform into a mere formal procedure lacking effective deterrent and correctional substance.

The failure to strictly adhere to preventive protocols (whether related to internal security or the prevention of infectious diseases and social perils such as drugs) leads to the creation of a turbulent penal environment dominated by chaos and contagion. This jeopardizes the physical safety of inmates and places the administration under tort liability, as this matter not only threatens the stability of the penal institution but also extends its impact to the external society upon the release of inmates who may be carriers of diseases or dangerous behavioral cultures that were not preventively contained. Consequently, the prison loses its role as a preventive bulwark, and the legal texts guaranteeing health and material security are reduced to theoretical provisions lacking effective implementation mechanisms.[12]

Conclusion

Healthcare for inmates constitutes a fundamental pillar of modern penal policy aimed at safeguarding the dignity of the prisoner and preserving their physical and psychological integrity, in accordance with international conventions and national legislation. This healthcare is grounded in the principles of comprehensiveness and equality, covering preventive and therapeutic services, as well as guaranteeing the confidentiality of medical information for each inmate according to their medical file and health status. Furthermore, it extends to encompass the psychological and social dimension through rehabilitation programs aimed at mitigating the adverse effects of deprivation of liberty and strengthening family ties as a primary incentive for reform and reintegration. Penal institutions are obligated to provide a healthy environment that includes proper nutrition, hygiene, and physical activity, alongside specialized medical care within penal health centers or public hospitals.

Despite this integrated legal framework, the healthcare system faces practical challenges resulting from prison overcrowding, which is considered a critical factor leading to the outbreak of epidemics and increased psychological distress among inmates. Additionally, issues arise regarding the shortage of specialized medical personnel and modern technical equipment, as well as an urgent need to digitalize medical records to ensure the continuity of treatment and preserve the inmate's medical file even after the completion of their sentence. Therefore, the success of rehabilitating and reintegrational efforts for inmates in good health necessitates upgrading healthcare infrastructure and activating awareness and prevention programs to transform the penal institution from a place of detention into a genuine correctional environment.

Investing in inmates' health is not merely a humanitarian obligation; it is a security necessity that ensures the inmate returns to society as a healthy and productive individual upon sentence expiration. The social welfare service plays a vital role in this path by studying individual problems and creating appropriate conditions for effective professional and social reintegration. Moreover, cultural and educational activities contribute to filling the intellectual void of inmates, thereby reducing recidivism rates and achieving the deterrent and correctional aims of the legal framework. This is accomplished through continuous coordination between the Ministries of Justice and Health to provide essential medications and supplies, and to ensure that the services provided conform to internationally recognized health and technical standards. In conclusion, the right to health remains an absolute, non-derogable right, which imposes tort liability upon the penal administration in the event of negligence in implementing preventive and therapeutic protocols.

Bibliography

First: Legislation

Algerian Constitutions:

1. The Algerian Constitution of 1976.
2. The Algerian Constitution of 1989.
3. The Algerian Constitution of 1996 (amended by Law No. 02-03 dated April 15, 2002).
4. The Algerian Constitution of 2020 (Official Gazette of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, No. 82, dated December 30, 2020).

Second: Statutory Texts

1. World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe, and United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Good Governance for Prison Health in the 21st Century: A Policy Brief on the Organisation of Prison Health. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2013.
2. Law No. 05-04 dated February 6, 2005, containing the Prison Organization and Social Reintegration of Inmates Act.
3. Ordinance No. 72-02 dated February 10, 1972, containing the Prison Organization and Prisoner Education Act, Official Gazette No. 19 of 1972.
4. Law No. 08-11 relating to Health.

Third: Books

1. Ahmed Hosni Mohamed Taha, Protection of the Personal Sentiment of the Convict during the Sentence Execution Phase in Islamic Jurisprudence and Positive Criminal Law, New University House, Alexandria, 2007.
2. Hamed Abdel Salam Zahran, Mental Health and Psychotherapy, 4th ed., Alam Al Kotob, Cairo, 2005.
3. Al-Hadari Lotfi, Fasting and Mental Health, Noor Digital Library, 2024.
4. Sayeh Sangouga, The Judge of the Application of Penalties or the Social Institution for Inmate Reintegration between Reality and Law under Algerian Legislation: An Evaluative Practical Vision, Vol. 1, Dar El Hoda for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution, Aïn M'lila, Algeria, 2013.
5. Laroum Aamar, The Concise Guide for Inmate Guidance, Dar Houma for Printing and Publishing, Algeria, 2010.

6. • Dünkel, Frieder, Ineke Pruin, Jonel Grzincic, and Dirk van Zyl Smit, eds. Compliance with Human Rights in Prisons: European and World-Wide Policies. Dordrecht: Springer, 2018.

7. Catan, T., and K. Mallik-Kane. Health and Healthcare behind Bars: The Impact of Corrections on Public Health. Washington, D.C.: Urban Institute, 2007.

8. United Nations. United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (The Nelson Mandela Rules). Vienna: United Nations, 2015.

9. Liebling, Alison, and Shadd Maruna, eds. The Effects of Imprisonment. Cullompton: Willan Publishing, 2005.

10. Fazel, Seena, and Jacques Baillargeon. "The Health of Prisoners." The Lancet 377, no. 9769 (2011): 956–65.

Notes

[1] Ahmed Hosni Mohamed Taha, Protection of the Personal Sentiment of the Convict during the Sentence Execution Phase in Islamic Jurisprudence and Positive Criminal Law, New University House, Alexandria, 2007.p126

[2] Hamed Abdel Salam Zahran, Mental Health and Psychotherapy, 4th ed., Alam Al Kotob, Cairo, 2005.p214

[3] Al-Hadari Lotfi, Fasting and Mental Health, Noor Digital Library, 2024.p510

[4] Sayeh Sangouga, The Judge of the Application of Penalties or the Social Institution for Inmate Reintegration between Reality and Law under Algerian Legislation: An Evaluative Practical Vision, Vol. 1, Dar El Hoda for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution, Aïn M'lila, Algeria, 2013.p124

[5] Laroum Aamar, The Concise Guide for Inmate Guidance, Dar Houma for Printing and Publishing, Algeria, 2010.p219

[6] World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe, and United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Good Governance for Prison Health in the 21st Century: A Policy Brief on the Organisation of Prison Health. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2013.

[7] Dünkel, Frieder, Ineke Pruin, Jonel Grzincic, and Dirk van Zyl Smit, eds. Compliance with Human Rights in Prisons: European and World-Wide Policies. Dordrecht: Springer, 2018.p51

[8] Catan, T., and K. Mallik-Kane. Health and Healthcare behind Bars: The Impact of Corrections on Public Health. Washington, D.C.: Urban Institute, 2007.p126

[9] United Nations. United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (The Nelson Mandela Rules). Vienna: United Nations, 2015.p321

[10] Liebling, Alison, and Shadd Maruna, eds. The Effects of Imprisonment. Cullompton: Willan Publishing, 2005.p462

[11] Fazel, Seena, and Jacques Baillargeon. "The Health of Prisoners." The Lancet 377, no. 9769 (2011): 956–65.

[12] Law No. 05-04 dated February 6, 2005, containing the Prison Organization and Social Reintegration of Inmates Act.